R. Larson

THE CHARLES STARK DRAPER LABORATORY A DIVISION OF MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 68 ALBANY STREET CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

COLOSSUS MEMO # 326

LUMINARY MEMO #233

TO:

Distribution

FROM:

Margaret Hamilton

DATE:

November 23, 1971

SUBJECT: EMPs

Enclosed are memos which resulted from discussions on the contents and formats of EMPs.



68 Albany Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Telephone (617) 864-6900

TO:

DISTRIBUTION

FROM:

K. W. Greene

DATE:

26 October 1971

SUBJECT:

Erasable Memory Program (EMP) Control Procedures

REFERENCE:

MISSION PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NOTE #19 - EMPs

The purpose of this memo is an attempt to describe the procedures to be utilized for the development, verification, documentation and approval of EMP's. (See Reference 1)

The definition of an EMP is as follows:

"Specifically, it is any alteration to the erasable memory of the computer which causes the computer to execute instructions in a sequence not compatible with the program design and requirements (i. e. the GSOP). That is, if an alteration to the E-Memory causes a fixed memory program to skip or add steps, take a different route, etc., then that alteration comes under the category of erasable memory programming. This means that EMP's may be anything from actual machine code loaded into E-Memory to a flagbit change which causes some program to follow an abnormal logic path."

Because of the nature of EMP's and their mission dependence the normal procedures used for program development and changes thereto do not readily lend themselves to EMP's. To provide the proper control, the following is the first attempt to define the procedures that have been roughly outlined before at various meetings: (See Figure 1).

- 1. The origin of a proposed EMP may be at MIT/DL, MSC or any other agency. The proposed EMP is defined to the best of the originators capability on a PCR form. Thus proposed EMP descriptions may vary from just an idea to a complete proposal for an EMP depending on the originator.
- 2. The originator sends the proposed EMP in the form of a PCR to SFSB for MSC review. The PCR is then placed on an SCB agenda. For PCRs prepared outside of MIT/DL SFSB shall provide MIT/DL with a copy for review prior to the SCB.
- 3. The PCR is than brought before the SCB. At this point the concept of the proposed EMP is either approved or disapproved. (Block 4.0 of the PCR form is used for this action. See Fig. 2). Approval, is a letter of direction to MIT/DL to prepare, verify and document the proposed EMP.
- 4. After receipt of an approved EMP PCR (Block 4.0) the MIT/DL Colossus/Luminary/Skylark Project Manager and the Group 23B Division Director assign responsibility for the preparation of the EMP. This is accomplished by the distribution of completed "MIT/DL PROGRAM CHANGE ROUTING SLIP." Attached to a copy of the initial PCR. (See Figure 3).
- 5. After the EMP is developed and documented, copies of the PCR, and the documented EMP are distributed for review and verification. This step is accomplished by using "APOLLO/SKYLAB ERASABLE MEMORY PROGRAM VERIFICATION REPORT" for assignment of responsibility. (See Figure 4).
- 6. A MDRB (Mission Design Review Board) is then scheduled to review the comments and results of the verification effort. The comments received are negotiated and if approved by the MDRB they are implemented into the EMP documentation.
- 7. Copies of the EMP documentation are then sent to SFSB for review at MSC.
- 8. Comments received from MSC are reviewed at a MDRB meeting and the comments are implemented into the EMP documentation if agreed on at the MDRB.

- 9. Final copies of the EMP are sent to SFSB for action at an SCB Meeting. At this step the SCB will either approve or disapprove the use of the proposed EMP. Block 6.0 of the PCR is used for the recording of this action.
- 10. Approval as indicated in Block 6.0 of the PCR Form authorizes MIT/DL to publish the documentation of the subject EMP in Section 7 of the GSOP.
- 11. Changes to EMPs in Section 7 shall be accomplished via the procedures outlined above.

DISTRIBUTION:

-	- 111	
R.	Battin	
TI.	Dattill	

- S. Copps
- R. Larson
- J. Reed
- P. Rye
- D. Millard
- B. McCoy
- D. Moore
- L. Berman
- P. White
- D. Eyles
- S. Albert
- N. Neville
- R. Whittredge
- R. Haslam
- J. Turnbull
- R. Kiburz
- L. Groome
- K. Kido

- M. Hamilton
- P. Volante
- R. Millard
- K. Greene
- I. Johnson
- G. Edmonds
- G. Levine
- N. Brodeur
- D. Densmore
- N. Barnert
- W. Ostanek
- Č. Beals
- J. Goode
- D. Lollar
- R. Schlundt
- J. Dunbar
- F. Walsh
- E. Grace
- J. Garman FS6

EMP CONTROL PROCEDURES FLOW DISAPROVED STEP 1 STEP 2 STEP 3 STEP 4 STEP 5 SFSB TO MIT/OL EMP CIRC. OF EMP SCB FOR PREPARATION PCR PCR PCR FOR EMP DEV. FOR FOR REVIEW APPROVAL 8 ORIGINATOR SHEET NO... TEST, & DOC. POCUMENTATION & VERIFICATION MSC REVIEW (BLOCK4.0) OF EMP JOB NO. COPY TO MIT/DL IF NOT ORIGINATED AT MIT/OL CONTROLS STEP 6 STEP 8 STEP 9 STEP 7 STEPIO SFSB SFSB MORB MDRB GSOP APPROVAL REVIEW FOR FOR SCB REVIEW OF PROCI SECT.7 MSC REVIEW APPROVAL NASA COMMENTS 日とり DISAPPROVAL STEP 11 CHANGES DATE 10/27/71 RECYCLE THRU

FIG. 1

ע.∀.

Y K.W

PROCEDURES

APOLLO SPACECRAFT SOFTWARE	CONFIGURATIO	N CONTROL BOAR	NUMBER (Completed by FSB)
PROGRAM CHA	NGE REQUEST	N CONTROL BUAK	U
1.0	COMPLETED BY OF	RIGINATOR	
1.1 ORIGINATOR DATE	1.2 ORGANIZATION	APPROVAL	DATE
1.3 LIFECTIALTY		Tris of Santas	
		ITLE OF CHANGE	
1.5 REASON(S) FOR CHANGE			
		7	
1.6 DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE			
Si .			
2.0 SOFTWARE CON	TROL BOARD OR F	LIGHT SOFTWARE BE	RANCH
DECISION FUR	AIZIBILIIA IWE	ACT ESTIMATE BY	KIT
2.1 APPROVED DISAPPROVED	2.2 REMARKS:		
2.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD OR FLIGHT SOFTWARE BRANCH SIGN OFF			
DATE			
5415			
3.0 MIT VISIBILITY IMPACT EVALUATION:			
3.: SCHEDULE IMPACT	3.2 1	MPACT OF PROVIDING DETA	ILED EVALUATION
3.3 STORAGE IMPACT	3.4 REMARKS		
3.5 MIT COORDINATOR			
DATE			
4.0 SOF	TWARE CONTROL B	NARD ACTION	
4.1 IMPLEMENT AND PROVIDE	4.2 REMARKS		
PROVIDE DETAILED DETAILED CHANGE APPRI			
EVALUATION 4.3 SOFTHARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF			
DATE			
	LED PROGRAM CH	ANGE EVALUATION	
5.1 MIT COORDINATOR	5.2 MIT EVALUA	ATION	
DATE			
•			
6.0 SOFTWARE DETAIL	CONTROL BOARD ED PROGRAM CHA	DECISION ON MIT	
START OR CONTINUE DISAPPROVED OR STO	C 2 DEMANUE		
6.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF			
DATE			
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.			

MSC Form 288 (Jul 68) TP#21812

6

MIT/DL PROGRAM CHANGE ROUTING SLIP	PCR/PCN #
	ANOMALY #
COLOSSUS 3 LUMINARY 1E	SDR /
COLOSSUS _ LUMINARY _	. /
SKYLARK	
MIT Approved PCN NASA Approved PCR	NASA Approved
MIT Approved SDR NASA Approved PCN	Software Anomaly
A. Coding	MIT Approved Software Anomaly
Begin coding immediately	
ACTION:	
Program Supervisor's Approval:	
Do not code until new GSOP material has been a Mission Design Review Board (MDRB) and distr	approved by the MIT
B. GSOP Preparation	i ibuteu.
Prepare GSOP revisions for MDRB consideration	
ACTION:	
Technical Committee Meeting not required.	
Technical Committee Meeting(s) held on	
Attendees:	
C. KSC Testing and Checkout	
Review for possible impact on KSC	
testing and checkout ACTION:	
D. Other Programs Affected	
Review for corresponding changes in	
ACTION:	
Special Instructions	
Project Manager	
Date	
FIG. 3	

FIG. 4

A POLLO / SKYLAB ERASABLE MEMORY PROGRAM VERIFICATION REPORT

PCR NO:

EMP NO.

I. VERIFICATION OF EMP FOR MISSION				
II. VERIFICATION IS TO BE CONDUCTED USING				
III. VERIFICATION OF THE EMP AND REVIEW OF DOCUMENTATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED BY ASSURE THAT THE EMP LISTED ABOVE IS A I BEEN TESTED AND FOUND QUALIFIED FOR FOUND Please summarize tests conducted and any perting if testing of this EMP is not applicable to your assured that the summarize tests conducted and any perting the summarize tests.	Y YOUR GROUP TO PROGRAM THAT HAS LIGHT UTILIZATION.			
Project Manager				
	Date			
경영(1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
COMMENTS .				
Responsible Eng.	Qualified			
	Sign.			
	Date			
Ass'y Supervisor	Qualified			
	Sign.			
	Date			
Prog. Supervisor	Qualified			
	Sign.			
	Date			
23D MPV Supervisor	Qualified			
	Sign			
	Date			
23S Sys. Engr. Supervisor	Qualified			
	Sign.			
	Date			

THE CHARLES STARK DRAPER LABORATORY A DIVISION OF MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY **68 ALBANY STREET** CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

MISSION PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT NOTE #19

TO:

Distribution

FROM:

M. Hamilton

DATE:

October 18, 1971

SUBJECT: EMPs

A meeting was held to decide on the following:

- 1) EMP format and content changes
- 2) Procedures for handling EMPs
- 3) Negotiation of EMP schedules
- 4) EMP review form changes

Attendees: J. Reed

- P. Rye
- R. Larson
- D. Millard
- B. McCoy
- M. Hamilton
- P. Volante
- K. Greene

A new section of the GSOP (section 7) is being created for the purpose of specifying erasable programs. Each new erasable program (EMP) will be generated by a PCR. Ken Greene will describe the procedures for reviewing PCRs and resulting EMPs in a forthcoming memo. Discussion and decisions made at the meeting are:

- 1) The format of the EMP will be changed to the following:
 - a. Purpose
 - *b. Functional Description
 - Assumptions

- d. Restrictions and Limitations
- e. Procedures
- *f. Recovery/Termination
 - g. Erasable / Memory
- h. Uplink
- 2) The functional description section was added in order to clarify how the existing software is affected by the erasable program. For instance, if T4RUPT is affected, it should be mentioned in this section. Also, flow charts should be included here if necessary to show the EMP logic.
- 3) The Recovery/Termination section was added to include a. recovery procedures for restarts or other problems and b. a way of terminating the erasable program. Termination might be accomplished by restoring the rest of the program back to the way it was, e.g., restoring flagbits or turning off a cyclical erasable program. In the future, we should design EMPs to allow for a safe termination procedure. An example would be to have a flagbit in the EMP logic, which in one state would keep an erasable program cycling and in the other state would turn off the erasable program. In the past, we made mistakes such as changing an erasable in the logic sequence of an erasable program on the fly via V21. This is obviously a dangerous practice for either starting up an EMP or terminating one.
- 4) MDRB meetings will be held by the project manager with individuals from appropriate divisions. The new EMP form will include the date of the MDRB meeting. This in essence, means that the EMP should have been reviewed and tested before the MDRB meeting. All changes to be made to the EMP will be ironed out in the MDRB meeting.

^{*} added sections

- 5) Engineers assigned to code EMP PCRs will submit a formatted copy of their assigned erasable programs to Jack Reed after the program is thoroughly tested. A sample format will be sent to engineers, along with a PCR showing how EMPs are filled out. (see attached "dummy" of EMP)
- 6) Section 7 will contain a qualifying statement to the effect that all EMPs are assumed to be in conflict with other EMPs unless stated otherwise. A matrix will be provided at the beginning of section 7 showing relationships between EMPs.
 - 7) Even though some EMPs were reveiwed and checked out for APOLLO 16, a new review effort should be made in order to guarantee that new mission procedures are compatible with the EMP. Also, it might be possible that an EMP will have to be changed to run with another EMP. In addition, the added new documentation for section 7 should be reviewed on existing EMPs.
 - 8) Schedules are yet to be defined for EMPs submitted after Sept. 15.

DUMMY

EMP XXX: Name of Erasable Memory Program

PURPOSE:

EMP XXX provides a means of

(Describe - usually in one sentence - the

specific task performed.

ASSUMPTIONS:

EMP XXX is used when

(Describe the vehicle and G & C status or preconditions appropriate to the use of this

erasable program.)

FUNCTIONAL

DESCRIPTION:

(Provide functional diagram of the program, with

verbal amplication if appropriate - GSOP Section 4

information).

RESTRICTIONS

AND LIMITATIONS:

(Specify times, conditions, and states when the use of EMP XXX is proscribed. Identify potential

areas of conflict with other programs. If the program is not restart protected, state the possible

effects.

PROCEDURES:

(Use imperative mode to present step-by-step

procedure for setting up, activating, and performing EMP XXX . Use notes, if necessary

forming EMP XXX. Use notes, if necessary,

to describe operational subtleties.)

RECOVERY/

TERMINATION:

(Use imperative mode to present step-by-step

procedure for terminating EMP XXX and restoring the AGC to its original configuration. If program

is not restart protected, describe restart recovery.)

ERASABLE MEMORY:		(Present actual program coding for EMP XXX)			
	Location	Tag	Code	Octal	
UPLINK:		Present uplink format for loading EMP XXX)			
	Load 1	Load 2		Load n	